arXiv:1511.08565v1 [math.AP] 27 Nov 2015

THE DISTRIBUTION OF 3D SUPERCONDUCTIVITY NEAR THE SECOND CRITICAL FIELD

AYMAN KACHMAR AND MARWA NASRALLAH

Abstract. We study the minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau energy functional with a constant magnetic field in a three dimensional bounded domain. The functional depends on two positive parameters, the Ginzburg-Landau parameter and the intensity of the applied magnetic field, and acts on complex valued functions and vector fields. We establish a formula for the distribution of the L^2-norm of the minimizing complex valued function (order parameter). The formula is valid in the regime where the Ginzburg-Landau parameter is large and the applied magnetic field is close to the second critical field—the threshold value corresponding to the transition from the superconducting to the normal phase in the bulk of the sample. Earlier results are valid in $2D$ domains and for the L^4-norm in $3D$ domains.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we derive a formula displaying the distribution of the density of the superconducting electron pairs (Cooper pairs) in a superconducting sample. Such a formula has been obtained in [15] when the sample occupies a cylindrical domain with an infinite height. The novelty here is that the sample is allowed to occupy any bounded three dimensional domain with a smooth boundary.

Our results are valid for type II superconductors within the Ginzburg-Landau theory. In this theory, a superconducting sample is distinguished by a material parameter $\kappa > 0$. κ is called the Ginzburg-Landau parameter. When the sample is placed in a magnetic field, we will denote the intensity of the magnetic field by the positive parameter $H > 0$. As H varies, the state of superconductivity in the sample will undergo several phase transitions that we outline below:

- There is a first critical value $H_{C_1} > 0$ such that, if $H < H_{C_1}$, the sample remains in a perfect superconducting state and repels the applied magnetic field.
- There is a second critical value $H_{C_2} > H_{C_1}$ such that, if $H_{C_1} < H < H_{C_2}$, then the applied magnetic field penetrates the sample in point defects and these point defects are in the normal (non-superconducting) state. The rest of the sample is in the superconducting state. The point defects are arranged along a lattice.
- There is a third critical value $H_{C_3} > H_{C_2}$ such that, if $H_{C_2} < H < H_{C_3}$, then the bulk of the sample is in the normal state and the surface of the sample is in the superconducting state.
- If $H > H_{C_3}$, all the sample is in the normal state.

We refer the reader to the book of de Gennes [7] for the physical background. Using the Ginzburg-Landau model and rigorous mathematical methods, the critical values (fields) H_{C_1}, H_{C_2} and H_{C_3} are identified in the large κ regime. For samples occupying infinite cylindrical domains, we refer the reader to the papers [1, 2, 5, 6, 11, 18, 20] and the two monographs [8, 19]. For general three dimensional domains, we refer the reader to the papers [4, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17]. The value H_{C_2} is called the second critical field. Existing results suggest that $H_{C_2} \sim \kappa$ as $\kappa \to \infty$, for samples with Ginzburg-Landau parameter κ (cf. [1, 11, 18]).

Suppose that the superconducting sample occupies a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The state of the superconductivity is described using a complex-valued function $\psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ and a vector field $\mathbf{A} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$. The function ψ is called the Ginzburg-Landau parameter and the vector field $\mathbf{A}$ is called the magnetic potential. The quantity $|\psi|^2$ measures the density of the superconducting

electron pairs (Cooper pairs) hence when $\psi(x) \approx 0$ the sample is in the normal state at x. At equilibrium, the configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ minimizes the Ginzburg-Landau energy.

If the region Ω is an infinite cylinder with cross section $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and the applied magnetic field is parallel to the cylinder's axis, then ψ and $\mathbf{A}$ can be reduced to functions defined on U. In this case, under the assumptions

$$\kappa \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^{-1/2} \ll 1 - \frac{H}{\kappa} \ll 1\,, \tag{1.1}$$

the density $|\psi|^2$ satisfies (cf. [15])

$$\int_U |\psi|^2\, dx = -E_{\rm Ab}|U|\,[\kappa - H]^2 + o([\kappa - H]^2)\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $E_{\rm Ab} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is a universal constant, called the Abrikosov constant and will be defined later.

In (1.1), we use the following notation. For positive functions $a(\kappa)$ and $b(\kappa)$, $a(\kappa) \ll b(\kappa)$ means that there exists $\delta(\kappa)$ such that $\lim_{\kappa\to\infty} \delta(\kappa) = 0$ and $a(\kappa) = \delta(\kappa) b(\kappa)$.

Note that the assumption in (1.1) corresponds to the regime close to the second critical field and is the optimal assumption needed for (1.2) to be valid (cf. [11, 15]).

The aim of this paper is to obtain an analogue of the formula in (1.2) when the domain Ω is a general bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a smooth boundary. This will improve and complete the results in [10, 12].

Hereafter, we suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is **open**, **bounded**, has a **finite** number of **connected** components and with a **smooth boundary**. For every configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C}) \times H^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$, we define the Ginzburg-Landau energy of $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ as follows

$$\mathcal{E}^{3D}(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \;=\; \int_\Omega \left[|(\nabla - i\kappa H \mathbf{A})\psi|^2 - \kappa^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\psi|^4 \right] dx + \kappa^2 H^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |{\rm curl}\mathbf{A} - \beta|^2 dx. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, as explained earlier, κ and H are two positive parameters, and $\beta = (0, 0, 1)$ is the profile and direction of the (constant) applied magnetic field.

Let us introduce the space $\dot{H}^1_{{\rm div}\,,\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of vector fields defined as follows

$$\dot{H}^1_{{\rm div}\,,\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \left\{ \mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \quad : \quad {\rm div}\,\mathbf{A} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{F} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the following magnetic potential

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = (-x_2/2, x_1/2, 0), \quad \forall\, x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.5}$$

and the space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the homogeneous Sobolev space, i.e. the closure of $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ under the norm $u \mapsto \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

The energy in (1.3) will be minimized over the space $H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}^1_{{\rm div}\,,\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Actually, this is the natural 'energy' space for the functional in (1.3), see [8]. We thereby introduce the following ground state energy

$$E_{g.st}(\kappa, H) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}^{3D}(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \quad : \quad (\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}^1_{{\rm div}\,,\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

For a given κ and H, we will call a minimizer of the functional (1.3) a configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}^1_{{\rm div}\,,\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $\mathcal{E}^{3D}(\psi, \mathbf{A}) = E_{g.st}(\kappa, H)$. Obviously, such a configuration will depend on κ and H. To emphasize this dependence, we will denote such minimizers by $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa,H}$.

Note that a minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa,H}$ is a *critical point* of the functional in (1.3), i.e.

$$\forall\, (\phi, a) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C}) \times C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)\,,\ \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^{3D}(\psi + t\phi, \mathbf{A})\Big|_{t=0} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^{3D}(\psi, \mathbf{A} + ta)\Big|_{t=0} = 0\,.$$

More precisely, a critical point $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C})\times H^1_{\mathrm{div},\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a weak solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equations,

$$\begin{cases} -(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})^2\psi = \kappa^2(1-|\psi|^2)\psi & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \operatorname{curl}^2 \mathbf{A} = -\dfrac{1}{\kappa H}\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\psi}(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi)\mathbf{1}_\Omega & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \nu\cdot(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$ is the characteristic function of the domain Ω, and ν is the unit interior normal vector of $\partial\Omega$.

Minimizers of the functional in (1.3) are studied in [10, 12]. Under the assumption in (1.1), if $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa,H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.3), then

$$\int_\Omega |\psi|^4\, dx = -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}|\Omega|\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2 + o\left(\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\right). \tag{1.8}$$

We will improve this formula in Theorem 1.2 below. We will work under the following assumption:

Assumption 1.1.

- $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *are two functions satisfying*

$$\lim_{\kappa\to\infty}\alpha(\kappa) = \infty\,, \quad \lim_{\kappa\to\infty}\beta(\kappa) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\kappa) \le \beta(\kappa)\kappa^{1/2} \text{ in a neighborhood of } \infty\,.$$

- $\kappa > 0$ *and* $H > 0$ *satisfy* $\alpha(\kappa)\kappa^{-1/2} \le 1 - \dfrac{H}{\kappa} \le \beta(\kappa)$.

In this paper, we will prove the following theorem (compare with (1.8)):

Theorem 1.2. [Sharp bound in L^4-norm]
There exist $\kappa_0 > 0$ *and a function* $\mathrm{err} : [\kappa_0, \infty) \to (0,\infty)$ *such that:*

- $\lim\limits_{\kappa\to\infty} \mathrm{err}(\kappa) = 0$ *;*
- *the following inequality holds*

$$\frac{1}{|Q_\kappa|}\int_{Q_\kappa}|\psi|^4 dx \le -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\Big(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\Big)^2 + \Big(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\Big)^2\mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,, \tag{1.9}$$

  where
  - E_{Ab} *is the Abrikosov constant introduced below in Theorem 2.3 ;*
  - $\kappa \ge \kappa_0$ *and* (κ, H) *satisfy Assumption 1.1 ;*
  - $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ *is a solution of* (1.7) *;*
  - Q_κ *is any cube of side length* $\kappa^{-1/2}$ *and satisfying* $\overline{Q_\kappa} \subset \{\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > 2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$.

Note that the conclusion in Theorem 1.2 has been known in the following cases:

- when Q_κ is replaced by the whole domain Ω but without specifying the (sharp) constant E_{Ab} (cf. [3]) ;
- when Q_κ is replaced by any open subset $D \subset \overline{D} \subset \Omega$ and with a smooth boundary (cf. [10]).

In light of (1.8), we observe that the constant E_{Ab} in (1.9) is optimal.

Let us point out that the derivation in [10, 12] of the upper bound in (1.8) relies on the estimate in [3] to control the error terms. However, the proof we give to Theorem 1.2 does not use ingredients from [3] but instead uses Theorem 2.7 in this paper, which displays a new formulation of the Abrikosov constant in terms of a non-linear eigenvalue problem.

Our next result is an asymptotic formula of the L^2-distribution of the minimizing order parameters.

Theorem 1.3. [Distribution of the density] *Let* $D \subset \Omega$ *be an open set such that* $|\partial D| = 0$. *Suppose that* H *is a function of* κ *satisfying*

$$H \le \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^{-9/26} \ll 1 - \frac{H}{\kappa} \ll 1\,. \tag{1.10}$$

If $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa,H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.3)*, then as $\kappa \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{1}{|D|}\int_D |\psi|^2\, dx = -2E_{\rm Ab}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right) + o\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right). \tag{1.11}$$

Here $E_{\rm Ab} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ is the universal constant defined in Theorem 2.3 below.

Note that the conclusion in Theorem 1.3 is consistent with the formula in (1.2) but is valid under the more restrictive assumption in (1.10). One reason that prevented us of proving (1.11) under the assumption in (1.1) is the lack of the upper bound

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\kappa)} \leq C\left|1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right|^{1/2} \qquad \left(\Omega_\kappa = \{x\in\Omega \ : \ \mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \gg \kappa^{-1}\}\right). \tag{1.12}$$

This upper bound is shown to hold in $2D$ domains (cf. [11]). Since we were not able to prove (1.12) in $3D$ domains, we used the estimate in Theorem 1.2 as a substitute. The price we paid is the restrictive assumption in (1.10). The technical reasons that led us to the assumption in (1.10) are explained in Remark 4.4.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. It is organized as follows:

- Section 2 reviews various limiting energies studied in [10] and concludes with the proof of Theorem 2.7. Theorem 2.7 is new and not among the results in [10].
- Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. It uses Theorem 2.7 as a key ingredient.
- Section 4 establishes asymptotics of the Ginzburg-Landau energy in cubes with small lengths. The main conclusion here is summarized in Corollary 4.6. The assumption in (1.10) is needed in this section.
- Section 5 finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3. We prove an energy asymptotics for the density in cubes with small lengths as well, see Corollary 5.3.

Remark on the notation. The parameters κ and H are allowed to vary in such a manner that $H/\kappa \in [c_1, c_2]$, where $0 < c_1 < c_2$ are fixed constants. Whenever the letter C appears, it denotes a positive constant that is independent of κ and H. Such a constant may depend on the domain Ω, the constants c_1, c_2, etc. The value of C might change from one formula to another.

In the proofs, the notaion $o(1)$ stands for an expression that depends on κ and H such that $o(1) \to 0$ as $\kappa \to \infty$. However, this expression is independent of the choice of a minimizing/critical configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa,H}$ of the functional in (1.3), but it depends on the constants c_1, c_2, the domain Ω, etc. Sometimes we do local arguments in, say, a ball or a square of cener x_0 and radius ℓ. In such arguments, the quantity $o(1)$ is *independent of the center* x_0 but do depend on the radius ℓ.

Finally, by writing $a(\kappa) \approx b(\kappa)$, we mean that the *positive* functions $a(\kappa)/b(\kappa)$ and $b(\kappa)/a(\kappa)$ are bounded in a neighborhood of $\kappa = \infty$. In particular, our assumption on κ and H can be expressed as $H \approx \kappa$.

2. Limiting energies

2.1. Two-dimensional limiting energy.

2.1.1. *Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional and thermodynamic limit.* Let $b > 0$ and D be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define the following reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional,

$$H^1(D) \ni u \mapsto G_{b,D}(u) = \int_D \Big(b|(\nabla - i\mathbf{A}_0)u|^2 - |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|u|^4\Big)dx\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_0(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(-x_2, x_1), \qquad \Big(x=(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2\Big). \tag{2.2}$$

Given $R>0$, we denote by $K_R=(-R/2,R/2)^2$ the square of side length R and center 0. Let us introduce the following ground state energy

$$m_0(b,R)=\inf_{u\in H^1_0(K_R;\mathbb{C})} G_{b,K_R}(u) \tag{2.3}$$

It is proved in [1, 10, 20] that, for all $b\geq 0$, there exists $g(b)\in[-\frac{1}{2},0]$ such that

$$g(b)=\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{m_0(b,R)}{R^2}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

and that the function $[0,\infty)\ni b\mapsto g(b)\in[-1/2,0]$ is continuous, non-decreasing, $g(0)=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $g(b)=0$ for all $b\geq 1$. Moreover, there exists a universal constant $\alpha\in(0,1/2)$ such that, for all $b\in[0,1]$

$$\alpha(b-1)^2\leq|g(b)|\leq\frac{1}{2}(b-1)^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Also, for all $R\geq 1$ and $b\in[0,1]$, it holds the estimate

$$g(b)\leq\frac{m_0(b,R)}{R^2}\leq g(b)+\frac{C}{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

2.2. **The $2D$ periodic Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic field.** Let $R>0$ and $K_R=(-R/2,R/2)\times(-R/2,R/2)$. In this section we assume that

$$R^2\in 2\pi\mathbb{N}.$$

We introduce the following space

$$E_R=\Bigg\{u\in H^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{C})\ :\ u(x_1+R,x_2)=e^{iRx_2/2}u(x_1,x_2),$$
$$u(x_1,x_2+R)=e^{-ix_1/2}u(x_1,x_2),\qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2\Bigg\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Recall the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A}_0$ in (2.2). Consider the operator

$$P_R^{\rm 2D}=-(\nabla-i\mathbf{A}_0)^2 \tag{2.8}$$

with form domain E_R introduced in (2.7). More precisely, $P_R^{\rm 2D}$ is the self-adjoint realization associated with the closed quadratic form

$$E_R\ni f\mapsto Q_R^{\rm 2D}(f)=\|(\nabla-i\mathbf{A}_0)f\|^2_{L^2(K_R)}\,.$$

The operator $P_R^{\rm 2D}$ has a compact resolvent. We denote by $\left\{\mu_j(P_R^{\rm 2D})\right\}_{j\geq 1}$ the increasing sequence of its eigenvalues. The following proposition may be classical in the spectral theory of Schrodinger operators, but we refer to [1] or [2] for a simple proof.

Proposition 2.1. *The operator $P_R^{\rm 2D}$ has the following properties:*

(1) $\mu_1(P_R^{\rm 2D})=1$, *and* $\mu_1(P_R^{\rm 2D})=3$.

(2) *The space $L_R=\mathrm{Ker}(P_R^{\rm 2D}-1)$ is finite dimensional and* $\dim L_R=\dfrac{R^2}{2\pi}$.

Consequently, denoting by Π_1 the orthogonal projection on the space L_R in $L^2(K_R)$ and by $\Pi_2=\mathrm{Id}-\Pi_1$, we have for all $f\in D(P_R^{\rm 2D})$,

$$\langle P_R^{\rm 2D}\Pi_2 f,\Pi_2^{\rm 2D}f\rangle_{L^2(K_R)}\geq 3\,\|\Pi_2 f\|^2_{L^2(K_R)}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The next Lemma is a consequence of the existence of the spectral gap between the first two eigenvalues of $P_R^{\rm 2D}$. It is proved in [11, Lemma 2.8].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p \geq 2$. There exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, and $u \in D(P_R^{2\mathrm{D}})$ satisfying*

$$Q_R^{2\mathrm{D}}(f) - (1+\gamma)\,\|f\|^2_{L^2(K_R)} \leq 0 \tag{2.10}$$

the following estimate holds:

$$\|u - \Pi_1 u\|_{L^p(K_R)} \leq C_p\sqrt{\gamma}\,\|u\|_{L^2(K_R)}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Here Π_1 is the projection on the space L_R.

2.3. **The Abrikosov energy.** We introduce the following energy functional (the Abrikosov energy):

$$F_R(v) = \int_{K_R} \Big(\frac{1}{2}|v|^4 - |v|^2\Big)dx\,.$$

The energy F_R will be minimized on the space L_R, the (finite dimensional) eigenspace of the first eigenvalue of the periodic operator $P_R^{2\mathrm{D}}$,

$$L_R = \{u \in E_R \quad : \quad P_R^{2\mathrm{D}} u = u\}\,.$$

For all $R > 0$, let

$$c(R) = \min\Big\{F_R(u) \quad : \quad u \in L_R\Big\}. \tag{2.12}$$

The following theorem is proved in [1, 10]:

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a constant $E_{\mathrm{Ab}} \in [-1/2, 0[$ such that*

$$E_{\mathrm{Ab}} = \lim_{\substack{R\to\infty \\ R^2/2\pi\in\mathbb{N}}} \frac{c(R)}{R^2} = \lim_{b\to 1_-} \frac{g(b)}{(b-1)^2}.$$

We collect one more estimate from [15, Prop. 3.1 & Thm. 3.5]. There exist two constants $C > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $b \in (1-\epsilon_0, 1)$ and $R \geq 2$,

$$m_0(b, R) \leq (1-b)^2 c(R) + C(1-b)R\,. \tag{2.13}$$

2.4. **Three-dimensional limiting energy.** Let $b > 0$, $\mathcal{D}$ be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and

$$\forall\, u \in H^1(\mathcal{D})\,, \quad F_{b,\mathcal{D}}(u) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Big(b|(\nabla - i\mathbf{F})u|^2 - |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|u|^4\Big)dx\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the magnetic potential introduced in (1.5). For all $R > 0$, we denote by $Q_R = K_R \times (-R/2, R/2)$ and

$$M_0(b, R) = \inf_{u\in H^1_0(Q_R;\mathbb{C})} F_{b,Q_R}(u). \tag{2.15}$$

The next lemma displays the connection between the two and three dimensional ground state energies, $m_0(b, R)$ and $M_0(b, R)$. It is taken from [10, Theorem 2.14].

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $b \geq 0$ and $R > 0$, we have*

$$Rm_0(b, R) \leq M_0(b, R) \leq (R-2)m_0(b, R) + C. \tag{2.16}$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.16), we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for all $R \geq 1$ and $b > 0$,*

$$g(b) \leq \frac{M_0(b, R)}{R^3} \leq \frac{R-2}{R} g(b) + \frac{C}{R}.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.5, we may prove:

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that, if $b \in (0, 1]$, $R > 1$ and $v_{b,R}$ is a minimizer of F_{b,Q_R} (i.e. $F_{b,Q_R}(v_{n,R}) = M_0(b, R)$), then,*

$$-2R^2(R-2)g(b) - CR^2 \leq \int_{Q_R} |v_{b,R}|^4 dx \leq -2R^3 g(b)\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. The minimizer satisfies the following equation

$$-b(\nabla - i\mathbf{F})^2 v_{b,R} = (1 - |v_{b,R}|^2)v_{b,R},$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the boundary of Q_R.

Multiplying the above equation by $\overline{v_{b,R}}$, integrating over Q_R and performing an integration by parts, it follows that

$$M_0(b,R) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{Q_R} |v_{b,R}|^4 dx.$$

Now applying Lemma 2.5 finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6. □

Now we establish a link between the ground state energy in (2.15) and a non-linear eigenvalue problem. Such a relationship has been discovered in [14] in the two dimensional setting.

We define the linear functional

$$F^{\rm lin}_{b,D}(u) = \int_D \Big(b|(\nabla - i\mathbf{F})u|^2 - |u|^2 \Big) dx\,. \tag{2.18}$$

We will minimize this functional in the space of functions satisfying

$$\int_{Q_R} |u|^4 dx = 1.$$

That way, we are led to introduce the following ground state energy

$$\mathcal{M}_0(b,R) = \inf \left\{ \frac{F^{\rm lin}_{b,D}(u)}{\Big(\int_{Q_R} |u|^4 dx \Big)^{1/2}} \quad : \quad u \in H^1_0(Q_R) \setminus \{0\} \right\} \tag{2.19}$$

We aim to prove that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)}{R^{3/2}} = g_{\rm new}(b), \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$g_{\rm new}(b) = -\sqrt{-2g(b)}.$$

Actually, it holds:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $b \in (0,1)$. There exist two constants $C > 0$ and $R_0 > 1$ such that, for all $R \geq R_0$,*

$$-(-2g(b))^{1/2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)}{R^{3/2}} \leq -\Big(\big(1 - \frac{C}{R}\big)(-2g(b)) \Big)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{R}(-2g(b))^{-1/2}. \tag{2.21}$$

In light of Theorem 2.7, we infer that

$$g(b) = -\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)}{R^{3/2}} \Big)^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.7.

Upper bound:

We will prove the following inequality

$$\mathcal{M}_0(b,R) \leq -2(R-2)R^{1/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2} + CR^{1/2}(-2g(b))^{-1/2} \tag{2.22}$$

valid for some universal constant C, for all $b \in (0,1)$ and R sufficiently large.

Let $v_{b,R}$ be a minimizer of $M_0(b,R)$ for the Dirichlet boundary condition. Using the definition of $\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} F_{b,Q_R}(v_{b,R}) &= M_0(b,R) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}_0(b,R) \left(\int_{Q_R} |v_{b,R}|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{Q_R} |v_{b,R}|^4 dx\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we get for R sufficiently large

$$M_0(b,R) \geq \mathcal{M}_0(b,R)\Big(-2R^2(R-2)g(b) - CR^2\Big)_+^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(-2R^2(R-2)g(b) - CR^2\Big)\,.$$

We use Lemma 2.5 to estimate $M_0(b,R)$ from above. This finishes the proof of the upper bound in (2.22).

Lower bound:

We will prove that for all $b\in(0,1)$ and $R>1$,

$$\mathcal{M}_0(b,R) \geq -R^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2}. \tag{2.24}$$

Let $w_{b,R}$ be a minimizer of $\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)$. Let us normalize $w_{b,R}$ as follows

$$w^*_{b,R} = \frac{R^{3/4}(-2g(b))^{1/4}}{\|w_{b,R}\|_{L^4(Q_R)}} w_{b,R}\,.$$

The L^4- norm of $w_{b,R}$ satisfies

$$\|w_{b,R}\|_{L^4(Q_R)} = R^{3/4}(-2g(b))^{1/4}.$$

By definition of $\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)$, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}_0(b,R) = \frac{F^{\rm lin}_{b,Q_R}(w_{b,R})}{\|w_{b,R}\|^2_{L^4(Q_R)}} = R^{-3/2}(-2g(b))^{-1/2} F^{\rm lin}_{b,Q_R}(w^*_{b,R}). \tag{2.25}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\rm lin}_{b,Q_R}(w^*_{b,R}) &= F_{b,Q_R}(w^*_{b,R}) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{Q_R} |w^*_{b,R}|^4 dx \\ &= F_{b,Q_R}(w^*_{b,R}) + R^3 g(b) \\ &\geq M_0(b,R) + R^3 g(b) \\ &\geq 2R^3 g(b). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last inequality, we used Lemma 2.5 to write an upper bound for $M_0(b,R)$.

Now, inserting the inequality $F^{\rm lin}_{b,Q_R}(w^*_{b,R}) \geq 2R^3 g(b)$ in (2.25), we obtain (2.24). □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the sequel, we will work with the following local energy

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};D) = \int_D \left(|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi|^2 - \kappa^2|\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\psi|^4 \right) dx \quad (D\subset\Omega)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

We collect various a priori estimates that are useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (cf. [8, Chapter 10]).

Lemma 3.1. *If $(\psi,\mathbf{A})$ is a solution of (1.7), then*

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1\sqrt{\kappa H}\,\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\|\mathrm{curl}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F})\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \frac{C_1}{H}\,\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}\,\|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exist positive constants C and κ_0 such that if*

$$\kappa \geq \kappa_0\,, \quad \Lambda_{\min} \leq \frac{H}{\kappa} \leq \Lambda_{\max},$$

and $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ is a solution of (1.7), then the following is true.

Let $\ell \in (0,1)$ and $Q_\ell \subset \Omega$ be a cube of side length ℓ, then there exists a function $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{Q_\ell})$ such that, for all $x \in Q_\ell$, we have

$$|\mathbf{A}(x) - \mathbf{F}(x) - \phi(x)| \leq C\frac{\lambda^{1/6}}{\kappa}\ell, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\lambda = \max\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \left(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\right).$$

Proof. In [12, Corollary 4.4], it is proved that $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{F}\|_{C^{1,1/2}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C\kappa^{-1}\lambda^{1/6}$. The conclusion in Lemma 3.2 follows by taking $\phi(x) = (\mathbf{A}(x_0) - \mathbf{F}(x_0)) \cdot (x - x_0)$ where x_0 is the center of the square Q_ℓ. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $Q_{\kappa,\sigma}$ be the cube having the same center as Q_κ but with side length $(1+\sigma)\kappa^{-1/2}$. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(Q_{\kappa,\sigma})$ be a cut-off function satisfying, for all $\kappa \geq 1$,

$$\chi = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_\kappa, \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla\chi| \leq C\sigma^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2} \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{\kappa,\sigma}.$$

An integration by parts and the first equation in (1.7) yield the following localization formula

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_{\kappa,\sigma}) = \kappa^2 \int_{Q_{\kappa,\sigma}} \chi^2\Big(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2\Big)|\psi|^4 dx + \int_{Q_{\kappa,\sigma}} |\nabla\chi|^2|\psi|^2 dx \leq C\sigma^{-1}\kappa^{-1/2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that we have used that the term $(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2)$ is negative, the bound on $|\nabla\chi|$ and that $|Q_{\kappa,\sigma}| \leq C\kappa^{-3/2}$. Let us introduce the following linear energy

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) = \int_{Q_{\kappa,\sigma}} \left(|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\chi\psi|^2 - \kappa^2|\chi\psi|^2\right) dx\,.$$

Let ϕ be the function satisfying (3.5) in $Q_{\kappa,\sigma}$ (i.e. with $\ell = (1+\sigma)\kappa^{-1/2}$). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we write,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(e^{-i\kappa H\phi}\chi\psi, \mathbf{A} - \nabla\phi)\\ &\geq \int_{Q_{\kappa,\sigma}} \Big[(1-\kappa^{-1/2})|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{F})e^{-i\kappa H\phi}\chi\psi|^2 - \kappa^2|\chi\psi|^2 - C\kappa^{1/2}H^2\lambda^{1/3}\ell^2|\chi\psi|^2\Big]\, dx\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Using the expression of λ in Lemma 3.2 and the assumption on H in Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(e^{-i\kappa H\phi}\chi\psi, \mathbf{A} - \nabla\phi)\\ &\geq \int_{Q_{\kappa,\sigma}} \Big[(1-\kappa^{-1/2})|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{F})e^{-i\kappa H\phi}\chi\psi|^2 - \kappa^2|\chi\psi|^2 - C\kappa^{3/2}|\chi\psi|^2\Big]\, dx\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let $b = (1-\kappa^{-1/2})\frac{H}{\kappa}$, and $R = \ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$ and x_κ the center of the square $Q_{\kappa,\sigma}$. Apply the change of variables $y = \sqrt{\kappa H}(x - x_\kappa)$ to get

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) \geq \kappa^{5/4}H^{-3/4}\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)\,\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 - C\kappa^{3/2}\|\chi\psi\|_2^2,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)$ is the energy introduced in (2.19). We use Theorem 2.7 to write a lower bound of $\mathcal{M}_0(b,R)$ and Hölder inequality to estimate $\|\chi\psi\|_2$. That way we get,

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) \geq -\kappa^{5/4}H^{-3/4}R^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2}\,\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 - C\kappa^{3/4}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2.$$

Recall that $\ell = (1+\sigma)\kappa^{-1/2}$ is the side length of the cube $Q_{\kappa,\sigma}$ and that $R = \ell\sqrt{\kappa H} = (1+\sigma)\sqrt{H}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_{\kappa,\sigma}) &= \mathcal{L}_{0,\kappa}(\chi\psi, \mathbf{A}) + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^4 \\ &\geq -\kappa^{5/4}(1+\sigma)^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 - C\kappa^{3/4}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^4 .\end{aligned}$$

We insert this into (3.6) to get

$$\kappa^{5/4}\left(-(1+\sigma)^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2} - C\kappa^{-1/2} + \frac{\kappa^{3/4}}{2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2\right)\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 \leq C\sigma^{-1}\kappa^{-1/2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Two cases may occur :

Case I:

$$\left(-(1+\sigma)^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2} - C\kappa^{-1/2} + \frac{\kappa^{3/4}}{2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2\right) \leq \kappa^{-1/2}$$

Case II:

$$\left(-(1+\sigma)^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2} - C\kappa^{-1/2} + \frac{\kappa^{3/4}}{2}\|\chi\psi\|_4^2\right) \geq \kappa^{-1/2}.$$

In both cases, we infer from (3.9),

$$\|\chi\psi\|_4^2 \leq (1+\sigma)^{3/2}\kappa^{-3/4}(-2g(b))^{1/2} + C\sigma^{-1}\kappa^{-5/4}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $\chi = 1$ in $Q_\kappa \subset Q_{\kappa,\sigma}$ and $|Q_\kappa| = \kappa^{-3/2}$, it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q_\kappa|}\int_{Q_\kappa}|\psi|^4 dx\right)^{1/2} \leq (1+\sigma)^{3/2}(-2g(b))^{1/2} + C\sigma^{-1}\kappa^{-1/2}. \tag{3.11}$$

This yields the conclusion in Theorem 1.2 once we choose $\sigma = \left[\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\kappa^{1/2}\right]^{-1/2}$. In fact, Assumption 1.1 ensures that

- $\sigma \ll 1$ and $\sigma^{-1}\kappa^{-1/2} \ll 1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}$;
- $b = (1-\kappa^{-1/2})\frac{H}{\kappa} \to 1_-$ so that by Theorem 2.3, $g(b) = E_{\mathrm{Ab}}(b-1)^2 + (b-1)^2 o(1)$.

□

We will need to work with boxes rather than cubes only. These boxes are defined in:

Definition 3.3. *Let $0 < \ell, L < 1$. By a (ℓ, L) box we mean a cuboid of the form*

$$Q_{\ell,L} = (-\ell/2, \ell/2) \times (-\ell/2, \ell/2) \times (-L/2, L/2) + x_0 ,$$

for some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (the center of the box).

Note that, a (ℓ, L) box for which $L = \ell$ is simply a cube of side length ℓ.

Remark 3.4. As a simple corollary of Theorem 1.2, there exist two constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that the following estimate

$$\int_{Q_{\ell,L}}|\psi|^4 dx \leq C\ell^2 L\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2 ,$$

is valid as long as Assumption 1.1 is satisfied and

- $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$;
- $\kappa^{-1/2} \leq \ell, L < 1$;
- $Q_{\ell,L} \subset \{\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \geq 2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$ is a (ℓ, L)-box.

Furthermore, it holds,

$$\limsup_{\kappa\to\infty}\left(\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-2}\frac{1}{|Q_{\ell,L}|}\int_{Q_{\ell,L}}|\psi|^4\,dx\right)\leq -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 3.5. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.2,*

$$\limsup_{\kappa\to\infty}\left(\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-2}\frac{1}{|D|}\int_{D}|\psi|^4\,dx\right)\leq -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\,, \tag{3.13}$$

where $D\subset\Omega$ is an open subset such that $|\partial D|=0$.

4. Energy asymptotics

In the sequel, we will work with the local energy introduced in (3.1). Also, we will use the notation introduced below.

Notation 4.1. For every $\ell\in(0,1)$, we let $Q_\ell\subset\Omega$ be a cube of side length ℓ and $\chi_\ell\in C_c^\infty(Q_\ell)$ be a cut-off function satisfying

$$\chi_\ell=1\quad\text{in}\quad Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}},\quad 0\leq\chi_\ell\leq 1\,,\quad |\nabla\chi_\ell|\leq c\sqrt{\kappa H}\quad\text{and}\quad |\Delta\chi_\ell|\leq c^2\kappa H\quad\text{in}\quad Q_\ell, \tag{4.1}$$

where $c>0$ is a universal constant.

Proposition 4.1. *There exist two constants $\kappa_0>1$ and $C>0$ such that the following inequalities holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(1-\delta)}{|Q_\ell|}&\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi},\mathbf{F};Q_\ell)\\&\leq\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)+C\Big(\delta\kappa+\delta^{-1}\kappa^{1/3}\ell^2[\kappa-H]^{2/3}\Big)[\kappa-H]\\&\leq\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)+C\Big(\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}+\delta\kappa+\delta^{-1}\kappa^{1/3}\ell^2[\kappa-H]^{2/3}\Big)[\kappa-H]\,,\end{aligned}$$

where

- *$\delta\in(0,1)$, $\kappa\geq\kappa_0$, and (κ,H) satisfy Assumption 1.1;*
- *$(\psi,\mathbf{A})\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{C})\times\dot{H}^1_{\mathrm{div},\mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of (1.7);*
- *$\kappa^{-1/2}\leq\ell<1$, Q_ℓ and χ_ℓ are as in Notation 4.1;*
- *$\mathbf{F}$ is the magnetic potential introduced in (1.5);*
- *$\phi\in C^\infty(\overline{Q_\ell})$ is the smooth function in Lemma 3.2.*

Proof.

Step 1: Lower bound on $\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)$. The aim of this step is to prove the estimate in (4.3) below. Since $\chi_\ell=1$ in $Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}$, it holds the simple decomposition

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)=\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}})+\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}})\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Straight forward calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} &|(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx\\ &= \int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |\chi_\ell(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi|^2\,dx + \int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |\nabla\chi_\ell|^2|\psi|^2\,dx\\ &\quad + 2\mathrm{Re}\left\{\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} \chi_\ell\overline{\psi}\nabla\chi_\ell\cdot(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi\,dx\right\}\\ &= \int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |\chi_\ell(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi|^2\,dx - \int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |\psi|^2\chi_\ell\Delta\chi_\ell\,dx\,.\end{aligned}$$

We insert the estimates in Remark 3.4 into the aforementioned formula to obtain

$$\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx \leq \int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}} |(\nabla - i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi|^2\,dx + C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa - H]\ell^3\,.$$

We insert this into (4.3). After a rearrangement of the terms we get

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) + \kappa^2\int_{Q_\ell}(1-\chi_\ell^2)|\psi|^2\,dx + C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa - H]\ell^3\,.$$

We estimate the term $\displaystyle\int_{Q_\ell}(1-\chi_\ell^2)|\psi|^2\,dx$ using the assumption on the support of $1-\chi_\ell$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the estimate in Remark 3.4. That way we get

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) + C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa - H]\ell^3\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Step 2: Replacing A by F.

Let $\phi\in C^\infty(\overline{Q_\ell})$ be the function satisfying the estimate in (3.5). Using the gauge invariance and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) &= \mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi},\mathbf{A}-\nabla\phi;Q_\ell)\\ &\geq (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi},\mathbf{F};Q_\ell) - \Big(C\delta^{-1}\kappa^2H^2\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F}-\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty(Q_\ell)} + \delta\kappa^2\Big)\int_{Q_\ell}|\psi|^2\,dx\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates in Remark 3.4 and (3.5) we get,

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell) \geq (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi},\mathbf{F};Q_\ell) - C\Big(\delta^{-1}\kappa^2\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{5/3}\ell^5 + \delta\kappa[\kappa-H]\ell^3\Big)\,.$$

Inserting this into (4.3), we finish the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

Remark 4.2. In the setting of Proposition 4.1, let $R=\ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$. The change of variables $x\mapsto x\sqrt{\kappa H}$, Lemma 2.4 and (2.6) yield

$$\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi},\mathbf{F};Q_\ell) \geq \kappa^2 g\left(\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\,.$$

Furthermore, under Assumption 1.1, we know that $H/\kappa\to 1_-$, and by Theorem 2.3,

$$\kappa^2 g\left(\frac{H}{\kappa}\right) = E_{\mathrm{Ab}}[\kappa-H]^2 + [\kappa-H]^2 o(1)\,.$$

Proposition 4.3. *There exist positive constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa_0 > 1$ such that the following inequality holds*

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_0(\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_\ell)}{|Q_\ell|} \leq (1+\delta)\left(1 - \frac{2}{R}\right)[\kappa - H]_+^2 \frac{c(R)}{R^2} + C\Big(\ell^{-1} + \kappa^{-1}\ell^{-3}[\kappa - H]^{-1} + \delta\kappa + \delta^{-1}\kappa^{1/3}\ell^2[\kappa - H]^{2/3} + \ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}\Big)[\kappa - H]\,,$$

where

- *$\delta \in (0,1)$, $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$, and (κ, H) satisfy Assumption 1.1 ;*
- *$(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}\,, \mathbf{F}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.3) ;*
- *$\kappa^{-1/2} \leq \ell < 1$, $Q_\ell \subset \{\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \geq 2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$ is a cube of side length ℓ ;*
- *$R = \ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$ and $c(R)$ is the energy introduced in (2.12).*

Proof. Let x_0 be the center of Q_ℓ. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_0 = 0$ so that we reduce to the case

$$Q_\ell = (-\ell/2, \ell/2) \times (-\ell/2, \ell/2) \times (-\ell/2, \ell/2) \subset \{\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \kappa^{-1+\delta}\}.$$

In light of Lemma 3.2, we may assume, after performing a gauge transformation, that the magnetic potential satisfies,

$$|\mathbf{A}(x) - \mathbf{F}(x)| \leq C\kappa^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{1/3}\ell, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the magnetic potential introduced in (1.5).

Let $b = H/\kappa$, $R = \ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$ and $v_R \in H^1_0(Q_R)$ be a minimizer of the functional in (2.15), i.e. $F_{b,Q_R}(v_R) = M_0(b, R)$.

Let $\chi_R \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a cut-off function such that

$$0 \leq \chi_R \leq 1,\ |\nabla\chi_R| \leq C \quad \text{in} \quad \mathrm{supp}\ \chi_R \subset Q_{R+1}, \quad \chi_R = 1 \quad \text{in } Q_R, \tag{4.5}$$

for some universal constant C. Let $\eta_R(x) = 1 - \chi_R(x\sqrt{\kappa H})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We introduce the function (cf. [20])

$$\varphi(x) = \mathbf{1}_{Q_\ell}(x) v_R(x\sqrt{\kappa H}) + \eta_R(x)\psi(x), \quad (x \in \Omega). \tag{4.6}$$

Note that the function φ satisfies

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} v_R(x\sqrt{\kappa H}) & \text{if} \quad x \in Q_\ell\,, \\ \eta_R(x)\psi(x) & \text{if} \quad x \in Q_{\ell + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}} \setminus Q_\ell\,, \\ \psi(x) & \text{if} \quad x \in \Omega \setminus Q_{\ell + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

We will prove that, for all $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \mathbf{A}; \Omega) \leq \mathcal{E}(\psi, \mathbf{A}; \Omega \setminus Q_\ell) + (1+\delta)\frac{1}{b\sqrt{\kappa H}} M_0(b, R) + r(\kappa) \tag{4.8}$$

where $M_0(b, R)$ is defined in (2.15), $r(\kappa)$ is

$$r(k) = C\Big(\delta\kappa + \delta^{-1}\kappa^{1/3}\ell^2[\kappa - H]^{2/3} + \ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}\Big)[\kappa - H]\ell^3\,, \tag{4.9}$$

and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof of (4.8). Recall the Ginzburg-Landau energy $\mathcal{E}_0$ defined in (3.1). We may write

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \mathbf{A}; \Omega) = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \mathbf{A}; \Omega \setminus Q_\ell), \qquad \mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_0(\varphi, \mathbf{A}; Q_\ell) \tag{4.11}$$

Let us start by estimating $\mathcal{E}_1$ from above. We write

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}(\psi, \mathbf{A}; \Omega \setminus Q_\ell) + \mathcal{R}(\psi, \mathbf{A}), \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(\psi,\mathbf{A})=\mathcal{E}_0\big(\eta_R(x\sqrt{\kappa H})\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell\big)-\mathcal{E}_0\big(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell\big)\,.$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\mathcal{R}(\psi,\mathbf{A})=\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int_{Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell}\big(\eta_R^4(x\sqrt{\kappa H})-2\eta_R^2(x\sqrt{\kappa H})-1\big)|\psi|^4dx+\kappa^2\int_{Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell}|\psi|^2dx$$
$$-\int_{Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell}|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{A})\psi|^2dx+\int_{Q_{\ell+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}\setminus Q_\ell}|\nabla\eta_R|^2|\psi|^2dx.$$

Using that $0\leq\eta_R\leq 1$ together with the estimate $|\nabla\eta_R|\leq C\sqrt{\kappa H}$ and Remark 3.4, we get

$$\mathcal{R}(\psi,\mathbf{A})\leq C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa-H]\ell^3.$$

By inserting this into (4.12), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{E}_1\leq\mathcal{E}(\psi,\mathbf{A};\Omega\setminus Q_\ell)+C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa-H]\ell^3. \tag{4.13}$$

Now, we estimate the energy $\mathcal{E}_2$ in (4.11). Using the Cauchy-Shwarz inequality and (4.4), we write for all $\delta\in(0,1)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_2\leq(1+\delta)\int_{Q_\ell}\left\{|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{F})\varphi|^2-\kappa^2|\varphi|^2+\frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\varphi|^4\right\}dx$$
$$+C\Big(\delta\kappa^2+\delta^{-1}\kappa^2\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{2/3}\ell^2\Big)\int_{Q_\ell}|\varphi|^2dx\,.$$

Now we use that $\varphi=v_R(x\sqrt{\kappa}H)$ in Q_ℓ, the estimate in Lemma 2.6 and (2.5) to write,

$$\mathcal{E}_2\leq(1+\delta)\int_{Q_\ell}\left\{|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{F})\varphi|^2-\kappa^2|\varphi|^2+\frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\varphi|^4\right\}dx$$
$$+C\Big(\delta\kappa[\kappa-H]\ell^3+\delta^{-1}\kappa^2\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{5/3}\ell^5\Big). \tag{4.14}$$

Since $\varphi(x)=v_R(x\sqrt{\kappa H})$ in Q_ℓ, $b=H/\kappa$ and $R=\ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$, a change of variables yields

$$\int_{Q_\ell}\left\{|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{F})\varphi|^2-\kappa^2|\varphi|^2+\frac{\kappa^2}{2}|\varphi|^4\right\}dx=\frac{1}{b\sqrt{\kappa H}}M_0(b,R).$$

Inserting this into (4.14) then collecting (4.13) and (4.10), we finish the proof of (4.8). □

Now we proceed in the proof of Proposition 4.3. By the definition of the minimizer $(\psi,\mathbf{A})$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi,\mathbf{A};\Omega)\leq\mathcal{E}(\varphi,\mathbf{A};\Omega).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(\psi,\mathbf{A};\Omega)=\mathcal{E}(\psi,\mathbf{A};\Omega\setminus Q_\ell)+\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)$, then (4.8) yields,

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\psi,\mathbf{A};Q_\ell)\leq(1+\delta)\frac{1}{b\sqrt{\kappa H}}M_0(b,R)+r(\kappa)\,,$$

where $r(\kappa)$ is given in (4.9). Dividing both sides by $|Q_\ell|$ and using Lemma 2.4 and (2.13), we finish the proof of Proposition 4.3. □

Remark 4.4. **[Choice of the parameters]** Let $\mu=\kappa^{1/2}(1-\frac{H}{\kappa})$. Under Assumption 1.1, $1\ll\mu\ll\kappa^{1/2}$.

Let $B>0$ be a function of κ such that $1\ll B\ll\mu$. We choose $\delta=B\kappa^{-1/2}$. Under the additional condition $\mu^{-2}\ll\ell\ll 1$, we observe that all the terms

$$\delta\kappa,\quad \ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}\,,\quad \ell^{-1}\,,\quad \kappa^{-1}\ell^{-3}[\kappa-H]^{-1}$$

are of the order $o([\kappa - H])$.

To get $\delta^{-1}\kappa^{1/3}[\kappa - H]^{2/3} = o([\kappa - H])$, the additional condition $\ell \approx \mu^{1/6}\kappa^{-1/3}$ arises. To respect the condition $\ell \gg \mu^{-2}$, μ should satisfy $\mu \gg \kappa^{2/13}$. This motivates Assumption 4.5 below.

Assumption 4.5.

- $a : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *are two functions satisfying*

$$\lim_{\kappa\to\infty} a(\kappa) = \infty\,, \quad \lim_{\kappa\to\infty} b(\kappa) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a(\kappa)\kappa^{-9/26} \leq b(\kappa) \text{ in a neighborhood of } \infty\,.$$

- $\kappa > 0$ *and* $H > 0$ *satisfy* $a(\kappa)\kappa^{-9/26} \leq 1 - \dfrac{H}{\kappa} \leq b(\kappa)$.

Collecting Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, we get:

Corollary 4.6. *There exist* $\kappa_0 > 0$ *and a function* $\mathrm{err} : [\kappa_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ *such that:*

- $\lim\limits_{\kappa\to\infty} \mathrm{err}(\kappa) = 0$ *;*
- *the following two inequalities hold*

$$\left|\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi}, \mathbf{F}; Q_\ell) - [\kappa - H]^2 E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\right| \leq [\kappa - H]^2 \mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\int_{Q_\ell} |\psi|^4 dx + 2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\Big(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}\Big)^2\right| \leq \Big(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}\Big)^2 \mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,, \tag{4.16}$$

  where
  - E_{Ab} *is the Abrikosov constant introduced in Theorem 2.3 ;*
  - $\mathbf{F}$ *is the magnetic potential in* (1.5) *;*
  - $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$ *and* (κ, H) *satisfy Assumption 4.5 ;*
  - $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ *is a minimizer of* (1.3) *;*
  - $\ell = (\kappa H)^{-1/2}\sqrt{2\pi[(\kappa - H)^{1/3}\kappa^{1/6}H]}$ *with* $[\cdot]$ *denoting the integer part (floor function) ;*
  - $Q_\ell \subset \{\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > 2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$ *and* χ_ℓ *are as in Notation 4.1 ;*
  - $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{Q_\ell})$ *is the function defined by Lemma 3.2.*

Proof. Under Assumption 4.5, we know that $\kappa^{-9/26} \ll 1 - \frac{H}{\kappa} \ll \kappa^{-1/2}$. We choose $\delta = B\kappa^{-1/2}$ where $B > 0$ is a function of κ satisfying $1 \ll B \ll \mu := \kappa^{1/2}(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa})$. Note that our choice of ℓ verifies $\ell \approx \mu^{1/6}\kappa^{-1/3}$. As explained in Remark 4.4, with this choice, we get that all the remainder terms in Proposition 4.1 and 4.3 are of order $o([\kappa - H]^2)$.

Now, collecting the estimates in Proposition 4.1, 4.3 and Remark 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - \delta)\kappa^2 g\left(\frac{H}{\kappa}\right) &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta)}{|Q_\ell|}\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi}, \mathbf{F}; Q_\ell) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_\ell)}{|Q_\ell|} + o([\kappa - H]^2) \leq \frac{c(R)}{R^2}[\kappa - H]^2 + o([\kappa - H]^2)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $R = \ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$. Our choice of ℓ ensures that $R \gg 1$ and $(2\pi)^{-1}R^2 \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying (2.6) and Theorem 2.3, we get (4.15) and

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_\ell) \leq \ell^3[\kappa - H]^2 E_{\mathrm{Ab}} + \ell^3 o([\kappa - H]^2)\,. \tag{4.17}$$

The proof of (4.16) follows from the following localization formula,

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\chi_\ell\psi, \mathbf{A}; Q_\ell) = \kappa^2 \int_{Q_\ell} \chi_\ell^2\Big(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_\ell^2\Big)|\psi|^4 dx + \int_{Q_\ell} |\nabla\chi_\ell|^2|\psi|^2 dx\,.$$

By inserting (4.17) into the aforementioned formula and by using that $\chi_\ell = 1$ in $Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}$, we get

$$\frac{-\kappa^2}{2}\int_{Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|\psi|^4dx \leq [\kappa-H]^2E_{\rm Ab}\ell^3+\kappa^2\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|\psi|^4dx-\int_{Q_\ell}|\nabla\chi_\ell|^2|\psi|^2dx+\ell^3o([\kappa-H]^2)\,.$$

The estimate in Remark 3.4 yields that

$$\kappa^2\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|\psi|^4dx+\int_{Q_\ell}|\nabla\chi_\ell|^2|\psi|^2dx\leq C\ell^{-1/2}\kappa^{1/2}[\kappa-H]\ell^3=\ell^3o([\kappa-H]^2)\,.$$

This and Theorem 1.2 (also see Remark 3.4) finish the proof of (4.16). □

5. Sharp estimate of the L^2-norm

This section contains three main results:

- Lemma 5.1 regarding the spectral theory of the Landau Hamiltonian with (magnetic) periodic conditions with respect to a box lattice of $\mathbb{R}^3$;
- Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 regarding the behavior of the minimizers of the functional in (1.3) in cubes with small lengths.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is a simple consequence of the result summarized in Theorem 5.3. The proof of Theorem 5.3 relies on Lemma 5.2. The proof of Lemma 5.2 needs the result in Lemma 5.1 as a key ingredient.

5.1. **The $3D$ periodic operator.** Let $R>0$ such that $R^2\in 2\pi\mathbb{N}$, $L>0$ and $\mathbf{F}$ be the magnetic potential in (1.5). We denote by $P^{3D}_{R,L}$ the operator

$$P^{3D}_{R,L}=-(\nabla-i\mathbf{F})^2\quad\text{in}\quad L^2_{\rm per}(Q_{R,L}),\quad Q_{R,L}=(-R/2,R/2)^2\times(-L/2,L/2)\,,$$

with form domain the space E^{3D}_R defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}E^{3D}_{R,L}=\Big\{u\in H^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C})\ :\ &u(x_1+R,x_2,x_3)=e^{-iRx_2/2}u(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,,\\ &u(x_1,x_2+R,x_3)=e^{iRx_1/2}u(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,,\\ &u(x_1,x_2,x_3+L)=u(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,,\quad\forall\ (x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3\Big\}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

When $L=R$, we will omit the reference to L in the notation and simply write P^{3D}_R, E^{3D}_R and Q_R.

The operator $P^{3D}_{R,L}$ is with compact resolvent. Its sequence of increasing *distinct* eigenvalues is denoted by $\{\mu_j(P^{3D}_{R,L})\}$.

The Fourier transform with respect to the x_3-variable allows us to separate variables and express the operator $P^{3D}_{R,L}$ as the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(P^{2D}_R+(2\pi nL^{-1})^2\right)\quad\text{in}\ \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L^2\big((-R/2,R/2)^2\big)\,,\tag{5.2}$$

where P^{2D}_R is the operator introduced in (2.8). Consequently, we get

$$\mu_1(P^{3D}_{R,L})=1\quad\text{and}\quad\mu_2(P^{3D}_{R,L})=1+4\pi^2L^{-2}\,.\tag{5.3}$$

Let Π_1 be the orthogonal projection on $L_R\subset L^2((-R/2,R/2)^2)$, the first eigenspace of the operator P^{2D}_R in (2.8). By Proposition 2.1, we know that, under the assumption that $R^2\in2\pi\mathbb{N}$, the space L_R is finite dimensional and the dimension is equal to $N:=R^2/2\pi$. Thus, we may express the orthogonal projection Π_1 as follows,

$$\forall\ g\in L^2((-R/2,R/2)^2)\,,\quad\Pi_1u=\sum_{m=1}^N\langle g,f_m\rangle_{L^2((-R/2,R/2)^2)}f_m\,,$$

where (f_m) is an orthonormal basis of the space L_R. That way, we may view Π_1 as a projection in the space $L^2(Q_{R,L})$ via the formula

$$\forall\ u \in L^2(Q_{R,L})\,,$$

$$(\Pi_1 u)(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} f_m(x_1,x_2) \int_{K_R} u(x_1,x_2,x_3)\overline{f_m(x_1,x_2)}\,dx_1dx_2\,, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$K_R = (-R/2,R/2)\times(-R/2,R/2)\,. \quad (5.5)$$

We introduce the quadratic form of the operator P_R^{3D},

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3D}(u) = \int_{Q_{R,L}} |(\nabla - i\mathbf{F})u|^2\,dx\,. \quad (5.6)$$

Note that by definition of $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{A}_0$ in (1.5) and (2.2) respectively, we observe the following useful inequality,

$$\mathcal{Q}_R^{3D}(u) = \int_{Q_{R,L}} \left(|(\nabla_{(x_1,x_2)} - i\mathbf{A}_0)u|^2 + |\partial_{x_3}u|^2\right)dx \geq \int_{Q_{R,L}} |(\nabla_{(x_1,x_2)} - i\mathbf{A}_0)u|^2\,dx\,, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\nabla_{(x_1,x_2)} = (\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2})$.

Now, we can prove the $3D$ analogue of Lemma 2.2:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $2 \leq p \leq 6$. There exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in (0,1/2)$, $R, L > 1$ and $u \in E_{R,L}^{3D}$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(u) - (1+\gamma)\,\|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} \leq 0 \quad (5.8)$$

then the following estimate holds:

$$\|u - \Pi_1 u\|_{L^p(Q_{R,L})} \leq C_p\sqrt{\gamma}\,\|u\|_{L^2(Q_{R,L})}\,.$$

Proof. Let $\Pi_2 u = u - \Pi_1 u$. It is easy to check that $\Pi_1 u$ and $\Pi_2 u$ are orthogonal in $L^2(Q_{R,L})$ and that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(u) - \|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} = \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(\Pi_i u) - \|\Pi_i u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})}\right).$$

Using (5.7) and (2.9), we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(u) - \|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(\Pi_2 u) + \left(\frac{3}{2}-1\right)\|\Pi_2 u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})}\,.$$

Using the diamagnetic inequality, we get further

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R,L}^{3\mathrm{D}}(u) - \|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} \geq \frac{1}{2}\,\||\nabla|\Pi_2 u|\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} + \frac{1}{2}\,\|\Pi_2 u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})}\,.$$

We insert this into (5.8) to get,

$$\||\nabla|\Pi_2 u|\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} + \|\Pi_2 u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})} \leq 2\gamma\,\|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_{R,L})}\,.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.1 once the following Sobolev inequality is established

$$\forall\ R \geq 1\,,\ \forall\ p \in [2,6]\,,\ \forall\ f \in\ E_R^{3D}\,,\quad \|f\|_{L^p(Q_{R,L})} \leq C_p\|f\|_{H^1(Q_{R,L})}\,, \quad (5.9)$$

where C_p is a constant independent from $R \geq 1$. To prove (5.9), let $f \in E_{R,L}^{3D}$, $\chi \in C_c^\infty(B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(0,6))$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_{\mathbb{R}}(0,6))$ such that

- $\chi = 1$ in $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(0,3)$ and $\eta = 1$ in $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0,3)$;
- $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ in $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(0,6)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ in $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0,3)$;

Note that, since $f \in E^{3D}_{R,L}$, then $f(x)$ can be defined everywhere by (magnetic) periodicity. Let us define

$$g(x) = \chi\left(\frac{x^\perp}{R}\right)\eta\left(\frac{x_3}{L}\right) f(x)\,, \quad (x=(x_\perp,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3)\,.$$

Clearly, g belongs to the Homogeneous Sobolev space and the following Sobolev inequality holds

$$\|g\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\,.$$

This yields (5.9) for $p=6$. By Hölder's inequality, we get (5.9) for all $2\leq p\leq 6$. □

5.2. **Average asymptotics.** Here we return back to the analysis of the minimizers of the functional in (1.3).

Lemma 5.2. *There exist $\kappa_0>1$, $C>0$ and a function $\mathrm{err}:[\kappa_0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ such that it holds the following*

$$\|v-\Pi_1 v\|_{L^2((-R/2,R/2)^3)} \leq C\sqrt{1-\frac{H}{\kappa}}\,\|v\|_{L^2((-R/2,R/2)^3)}\,, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_0\big(e^{i\kappa H\phi}\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{F};Q_\ell\big) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}\left(\left(1-\frac{\kappa}{H}\right)|\Pi_1 v|^2+\frac{\kappa}{2H}|v|^4\right)dx\,, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\frac{1}{R^3}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}|v|^4\,dx = -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2+\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,, \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{R^3}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}|v|^2\,dx \geq -2E_{\mathrm{Ab}}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)+\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,, \tag{5.13}$$

where

- $\lim_{\kappa\to\infty}\mathrm{err}(\kappa)=0$ *;*
- $\mathbf{F}$ *is the magnetic potential in* (1.5) *;*
- $\kappa\geq\kappa_0$ *and* (κ,H) *satisfy Assumption 4.5;*
- $(\psi,\mathbf{A})$ *is a minimizer of* (1.3) *;*
- $\ell=(\kappa H)^{-1/2}\sqrt{2\pi[(\kappa-H)^{1/3}\kappa^{1/6}H]}$ *with* $[\cdot]$ *denoting the integer part (floor function);*
- $R=\ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$ *;*
- *the cube* $Q_\ell\subset\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)>2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$ *and the function* χ_ℓ *are as in Notation 4.1;*
- $\phi\in C^\infty(\overline{Q_\ell})$ *is the function defined by Lemma 3.2;*
- Π_1 *is the projection introduced in* (5.4) *;*
- x_j *is the center of the cube* Q_ℓ *and*

$$v(x)=\left(e^{i\kappa H\phi}\chi_\ell\psi\right)\left(x_j+\frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\right), \qquad (x\in(-R/2,R/2)^3).$$

Proof. **Step 1. Proof of** (5.10)**.**

By a gauge transformation and a translation, we may assume that the center of Q_ℓ is $x_j=0$. We infer from (4.15) that, for κ sufficiently large,

$$\int_{Q_\ell}\Big(|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{F})\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi}|^2-\kappa^2|\chi_\ell\psi e^{i\kappa H\phi}|^2\Big)\,dx<0.$$

Performing the change of variables $x\mapsto\sqrt{\kappa H}x$, we get

$$\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}\big(|(\nabla-i\mathbf{F})v|^2-(1+\gamma)|v|^2\big)\,dx<0,$$

where $\gamma=\frac{\kappa}{H}-1\approx 1-\frac{H}{\kappa}$. Now the estimate in (5.10) follows simply by applying Lemma 5.1.

Step 2. Proof of (5.11)**.**

Using a change of variable, the min-max principle and (5.3), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0(e^{i\kappa H\phi}\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{F};Q_\ell) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}\left(|(\nabla-i\mathbf{F})v|^2-\frac{\kappa}{H}|v|^2+\frac{\kappa}{2H}|v|^4\right)dx\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}\left(\left(1-\frac{\kappa}{H}\right)|\Pi_1 v|^2+\frac{\kappa}{2H}|v|^4\right)dx\,.\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

Step 3. Proof of (5.12)**.** We perform the change of variable $x\mapsto x/\sqrt{\kappa H}$ to get

$$\frac{1}{R^3}\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}|v|^4\,dx=\frac{1}{\ell^3}\int_{Q_\ell}|\chi_\ell\psi|^4\,dx\,.$$

We use the estimate in Remark 3.4 coupled with Hölder's inequality and our choice of ℓ to write

$$\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}(\chi_\ell^4-1)|\psi|^4\,dx=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\ell^3 o(1)\,.$$

Now, by Corollary 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q_\ell}|\chi_\ell\psi|^4\,dx &= \int_{Q_\ell}|\psi|^4\,dx+\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}(\chi_\ell^4-1)|\psi|^4\,dx\\ &= -2E_{\rm Ab}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\ell^3+\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2\ell^3 o(1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Proof of (5.13)**.**
We use (5.12) and the following estimate from Corollary 4.6

$$\mathcal{E}_0(e^{i\kappa H\phi}\chi_\ell\psi,\mathbf{F};Q_\ell)=E_{\rm Ab}[\kappa-H]^2\ell^3+[\kappa-H]^2\ell^3 o(1)$$

and infer from (5.14)

$$-\int_{(-R/2,R/2)^3}|\Pi_1 v|^2\leq 2E_{\rm Ab}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)R^3+R^3 o(1)\,.$$

This finishes the proof of (5.13) in light of the estimate in (5.10). □

Theorem 5.3. *There exist $\kappa_0>1$ and and a function* $\mathrm{err}:[\kappa_0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ *such that:*

- $\lim_{\kappa\to\infty}\mathrm{err}(\kappa)=0$ *;*
- *the following inequality hold*

$$\left|\frac{1}{|Q_\ell|}\int_{Q_\ell}|\psi|^2\,dx-E_{\rm Ab}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\right|\leq\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\mathrm{err}(\kappa)\,,\tag{5.15}$$

where

- *$\kappa\geq\kappa_0$ and (κ,H) satisfy Assumption 4.5 ;*
- *$(\psi,\mathbf{A})$ is a minimizer of (1.3) ;*
- *$\ell=(\kappa H)^{-1/2}\sqrt{2\pi[(\kappa-H)^{1/3}\kappa^{1/6}H]}$ with $[\cdot]$ denoting the integer part (floor function) ;*
- *the cube $Q_\ell\subset\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)>2\kappa^{-1/2}\}$ is as in Notation 4.1 .*

Proof. We will prove (5.15) in two steps by establishing the upper and lower bounds in (5.15) independently.

The lower bound follows easily from Theorem 5.2 used with $R=\ell\sqrt{\kappa H}$ and ℓ as defined in Theorem 5.3. Namely we use (5.13).

The proof of the upper bound is a bit lengthy. We introduce the parameters

$$\alpha=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{1/16},\quad \epsilon=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{3/8},\quad L=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-5/8},$$
$$\ell'=(\kappa-H)^{-1}\epsilon\quad\text{and}\quad R'=\ell'\sqrt{\kappa H}\,.\tag{5.16}$$

Note that these parameters satisfy

$$\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^2 R'^2 L \ll 1\,, \quad \kappa^{-1}\ll \ell' \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1\ll R'\ll R\,, \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$\big((\ell')^{-2}+\kappa^2 L^{-2}\big)\alpha^{-2}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\ll \ell^3[\kappa-H]^2\,. \tag{5.18}$$

Here

$$R=\ell\sqrt{\kappa H}\,, \tag{5.19}$$

and ℓ is defined in Theorem 5.3.

Step 1.

Let $(\widetilde{Q}_{\ell',L,i})_i$ be a family of $(\ell', \frac{L}{\sqrt{\kappa H}})$-boxes covering the cube Q_ℓ (cf. Definition 3.3). These boxes are constructed as follows. First we cover Q_ℓ by N boxes of the form

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\ell',\mathcal{L},i}=\Big(-\frac{\ell'}{2},\frac{\ell'}{2}\Big)^2\times\Big(-\frac{L}{2\sqrt{\kappa H}},\frac{L}{2\sqrt{\kappa H}}\Big)+x_i\,,\quad x_i\in\mathbb{R}^3\,.$$

We choose these boxes to be disjoint (see Figure 5.2), hence the number N satisfies

$$\left|N-\frac{\ell^3\sqrt{\kappa H}}{\ell'^2 L}\right|\leq C\frac{\ell^2\sqrt{\kappa H}}{\ell'^2 L}\,. \tag{5.20}$$

Now we choose the boxes $Q_{\ell',L,i}$ by expanding the sides of $\widetilde{Q}_{\ell',L,i}$ slightly. Precisely, we take

$$Q_{\ell',L,i}=\Big(-(1+\alpha)\frac{\ell'}{2},(1+\alpha)\frac{\ell'}{2}\Big)^2\times\Big(-(1+\alpha)\frac{L}{2\sqrt{\kappa H}},(1+\alpha)\frac{L}{2\sqrt{\kappa H}}\Big)+x_i\,.$$

Consider a partition of unity (h_i) satisfying in Q_ℓ

$$\sum_i h_i=1\,,\quad \sum_i|\nabla h_i|^2\leq C\big((\ell')^{-2}+\kappa^2L^{-2}\big)\alpha^{-2}\,,$$

and supp $h_i\subset Q_{\ell',L,i}$.

Let the notation be as in Lemma 5.2 and denote by

$$w=e^{i\kappa H\phi}\chi_\ell\psi\,. \tag{5.21}$$

We have the decomposition formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_0(w,\mathbf{F};Q_\ell)&\geq\sum_i\mathcal{E}_0(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})-\sum_i\big\|\,|\nabla h_i|\psi\,\big\|^2_{L^2(Q_\ell)}\\
&\geq\sum_i\mathcal{E}_0(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})-C\big((\ell')^{-2}+\kappa^2L^{-2}\big)\alpha^{-2}\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(Q_\ell)}\\
&\geq\sum_i\mathcal{E}_0(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})-C\big((\ell')^{-2}+\kappa^2L^{-2}\big)\alpha^{-2}\ell^3\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\quad\text{[byRemark 3.4]}\\
&\geq\sum_i\mathcal{E}_0(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})-\ell^3[\kappa-H]^2o(1)\quad\text{[by (5.18)]}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

In light of Corollary 4.6, we get

$$\sum_i\mathcal{E}_0(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})\leq E_{\mathrm{Ab}}(\kappa-H)^2\ell^3+\ell^3(\kappa-H)^2o(1)\,. \tag{5.22}$$

Step 2.

Let

$$q(h_iw,\mathbf{F};Q_{\ell',L,i})=\int_{Q_{\ell',L,i}}\Big(|(\nabla-i\kappa H\mathbf{F})h_iw|^2-\kappa^2|h_iw|^2\Big)\,dx\,. \tag{5.23}$$



FIGURE 1. The projection on the xy-plane of the cube Q_ℓ decomposed into the small boxes $\widetilde{Q}_{\ell',L,i}$. Note the representation of the box $\widetilde{Q}_{\ell',L,i}$ with center $x_i = (\bar{x}_i, z_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and the slightly larger box $Q_{\ell',L,i}$.

We introduce the two sets of indices

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \{i \ : \ q(h_i w, \mathbf{F}; Q_{\ell',L,i}) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_- = \{i \ : \ q(h_i w, \mathbf{F}; Q_{\ell',L,i}) \leq 0\}\,.$$

Let $N_+ = \text{Card}, \mathcal{J}_+$ and $N_- = \text{Card}\, \mathcal{J}_-$. We will prove that

$$\left| N_- - \frac{\ell^3 \sqrt{\kappa H}}{\ell'^2 L} \right| \leq \frac{\ell^3 \sqrt{\kappa H}}{\ell'^2 L}\, o(1)\,, \tag{5.24}$$

and

$$N_+ = N_- o(1)\,. \tag{5.25}$$

Since $N_+ + N_- = N$, (5.25) is a simple consequence of (5.20) and (5.24). The upper bound in (5.24) is a simple consequence of (5.20) since $N_- \leq N$.

We turn to the proof of the lower bound in (5.24). We have the trivial lower bound that follows from (2.6) and (2.3), Theorem 2.3 and a change of variables

$$\mathcal{E}_0(h_i w, \mathbf{F}; Q_{\ell',L,i}) \geq \frac{\kappa}{H\sqrt{\kappa H}} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} m_0\left(\frac{H}{\kappa}, R'\right) dx_3 \geq \frac{\kappa}{H\sqrt{\kappa H}} g\left(\frac{H}{\kappa}\right) R'^2 L\,.$$

Here $R' = \ell'\sqrt{\kappa H}$. Using Theorem 2.3, we get further

$$\mathcal{E}_0(h_i w, \mathbf{F}; Q_{\ell',L,i}) \geq \Big(E_{\rm Ab} + o(1)\Big)\,(\kappa - H)^2\,\ell'^2 L(\kappa H)^{-1/2}\,.$$

Inserting this into (5.22) and dropping the positive terms corresponding to $i \in \mathcal{J}_+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_-\Big(E_{\rm Ab} + o(1)\Big)\,(\kappa - H)^2\,\ell'^2 L(\kappa H)^{-1/2} &\leq \sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-} \mathcal{E}_0(h_i w, \mathbf{F}; Q_{\ell',L,i}) \\ &\leq E_{\rm Ab}(\kappa - H)^2\ell^3 + \ell^3(\kappa - H)^2 o(1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_{\rm Ab} < 0$, this yields (5.24).

Step 3.

We denote by x_i the center of the box $Q_{\ell',L,i}$. If $i \in \mathcal{J}_-$, the change of the variable $x \mapsto (x - x_i)\sqrt{\kappa H}$ yields

$$\int_{Q_{R',L}} \Big(|(\nabla - i\mathbf{F})v_i|^2 - (1+\gamma)|v_i|^2\Big)\,dx \leq 0\,,$$

where $\gamma = 1 - \frac{\kappa}{H}$, $Q_{R',L} = (-R'/2, R'/2)^2 \times (-L/2, L/2)$ and

$$v_i(x) = h_i w\left(x_i + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\right)\,. \tag{5.26}$$

We apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\|v_i - \Pi_1 v_i\|_{L^p(Q_{R',L})} \leq C\sqrt{1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}}\,\|v_i\|_{L^2(Q_{R',L})}\,, \quad p \in \{2,4\}\,, \tag{5.27}$$

where Π_1 is the projection in (5.4). For $p = 4$, we write by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|v_i - \Pi_1 v_i\|_{L^4(Q_{R',L})} \leq C(R'^2 L)^{1/4}\sqrt{1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}}\,\|v_i\|_{L^4(Q_{R',L})} \ll \|v_i\|_{L^4(Q_{R',L})}\,, \tag{5.28}$$

by (5.17). Let us introduce the function u_i as follows,

$$v_i = \left(1 - \frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{1/2} u_i. \tag{5.29}$$

Since $R' \gg 1$, we get (cf. (2.12) and Theorem 2.3)

$$\int_{Q_{R',L}} \Big(-|\Pi_1 u_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Pi_1 u_i|^4\Big)\,dx \geq \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} c(R')\,dx_3 \geq E_{\rm Ab} R'^2 L - R'^2 L o(1)\,.$$

Thus, we get,

$$-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}} |\Pi_1 u_i|^2\,dx \geq -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}} |\Pi_1 u_i|^4\,dx + \Big(E_{\rm Ab} + o(1)\Big)R'^2 L N_-\,.$$

Using (5.28), (5.24) and $R' = \ell'\sqrt{\kappa H}$, we get further

$$-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}} |\Pi_1 u_i|^2\,dx \geq -\frac{1}{2}\big(1 + o(1)\big)\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}} |u_i|^4\,dx + E_{\rm Ab}\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2} + \ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}o(1)\,. \tag{5.30}$$

In light of (5.29), (5.26) and (5.21), we get by a change variable transformation

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}}|u_i|^4\,dx=(\kappa H)^{3/2}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-2}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{\ell',\frac{L}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|h_i w|^4\,dx$$
$$\leq(\kappa H)^{3/2}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-2}\int_{Q_\ell}|\psi|^4\,dx\leq -2E_{\rm Ab}(\kappa H)^{3/2}\ell^3+(\kappa H)^{3/2}\ell^3 o(1)$$

by Corollary 4.6. Inserting this into (5.30), we get

$$-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}}|\Pi_1 u_i|^2\,dx\geq 2E_{\rm Ab}\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}+\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}o(1)\,.$$

Now, using (5.27), we may write,

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}}|u_i|^2\,dx\leq\big(1+o(1)\big)\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}}|\Pi_1 u_i|^2\,dx\leq -2E_{\rm Ab}\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}+\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}o(1)\,. \tag{5.31}$$

Recall the expression of u_i in (5.29). Performing a change of variable, we get

$$\int_{Q_{R',L}}|u_i|^2\,dx=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-1}(\kappa H)^{3/2}\int_{Q_{\ell',\frac{L}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|h_i\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx\,.$$

Using (5.24) and (5.25), we get

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{J}_-}\int_{Q_{R',L}}|u_i|^2\,dx=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-1}(\kappa H)^{3/2}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}_\pm}\int_{Q_{\ell',\frac{L}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|h_i\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx+o\left(\frac{\ell^3\sqrt{\kappa H}}{\ell'^2 L}\right)$$
$$=\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)^{-1}(\kappa H)^{3/2}\int_{Q_\ell}|\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx+\ell^3(\kappa H)^{3/2}o(1)\,,$$

by the definition of ℓ' and L in (5.16). We insert this into (5.31) and get,

$$\int_{Q_\ell}|\chi_\ell\psi|^2\,dx\leq -2E_{\rm Ab}\ell^3\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)+\ell^3\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)o(1)\,. \tag{5.32}$$

The estimate in Remark 3.4 and Hölder's inequality yield

$$\int_{Q_\ell}(1-\chi_\ell^2)|\psi|^2\,dx\leq\int_{Q_\ell\setminus Q_{\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}}}|\psi|^2\,dx\leq\frac{\ell^{5/2}}{(\kappa H)^{1/4}}\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)=o\left(\ell^3\left(1-\frac{H}{\kappa}\right)\right).$$

Inserting this into (5.32), we get the upper bound in Theorem 5.3. □

Acknowledgments. AK is supported by a research grant from Lebanese University.

References

[1] A. Aftalion, S. Serfaty. Lowest Landau level approach in superconductivity for the Abrikosov lattice close to HC2. *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) **13** (2007), 183–202.

[2] Y. Almog. Abrikosov lattices in finite domains. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **262** (2006), 677–702.

[3] Y. Almog. Non-linear surface superconductivity in three dimensions in the large κ limit. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* **6** (4) (2004), 637–652.

[4] S. Baldo, R.L. Jerrard, G. Orlandi, M. Soner. Convergence of Ginzburg-Landau functionals in 3-d superconductivity. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **205** (2012), 699-752.

[5] M. Correggi, N. Rougerie. On the Ginzburg-Landau Functional in the Surface Superconductivity Regime. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **332** (3) (2014), 1297–1343.

[6] M. Correggi, N. Rougerie. Boundary Behavior of the Ginzburg-Landau Order Parameter in the Surface Superconductivity Regime. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* (2014, to appear). arXiv:1406.2259 [math-ph].

[7] P.G. de Gennes. *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys.* Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

[8] S. Fournais, B. Helffer. Spectral Methods in Surface Superconductivity. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications. **77** Birkhäuser (2010).
[9] S. Fournais, B. Helffer. On the Ginzburg-Landau critical field in three dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62** (2009) 215-241.
[10] S. Fournais, A. Kachmar. The ground state energy of the three dimensional Ginzburg-Landau functional. Part I: Bulk regime. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **38** (2) (2013), 339–383.
[11] S. Fournais, A. Kachmar. Nucleation of bulk superconductivity close to critical magnetic field. *Adv. Math.***226** (2011) 1213-1258 .
[12] S. Fournais, A. Kachmar, M. Persson. The ground state energy of the three dimensional Ginzburg-Landau functional. Part II. Surface regime. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **99** (2013) 343–374.
[13] B. Helffer, A. Morame. Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: curvature effects in the case of dimension 3 (general case). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **(37)** (4) (2004) 105-170.
[14] A. Kachmar. A new formula for the energy of bulk superconductivity. Preprint.
[15] A. Kachmar. The Ginzburg-Landau order parameter near the second critical field. *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.* **46** (1) (2013), 572–587.
[16] A. Kachmar. The ground state energy of the three dimensional Ginzburg-Landau functional in the mixed phase. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011) 3328-3344.
[17] K. Lu, X.-B. Pan, Surface nucleation of superconductivity in 3-dimensions. *J. Differential Equations* **168** (2) (2000) 386-452.
[18] X.B. Pan, Surface superconductivity in applied magnetic fields above HC2. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **228** (2002) 228- 370.
[19] S. Sandier, S. Serfaty. *Vortices in theMagnetic Ginzburg-Landau Model.* Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, vol. 70, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
[20] E. Sandier, S. Serfaty. The decrease of bulk superconductivity close to the second critical field in the Ginzburg-Landau model. *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.* **34** (4) (2003), 939,Äì-956.

Lebanese University, Department of Mathematics, Hadath, Lebanon
E-mail address: ayman.kashmar@gmail.com

Lebanese International University, Beirut, Lebanon
& Lebanese University, faculty of Sciences, Section IV, Bekaa, Lebanon
E-mail address: marwa.nasrallah@liu.edu.lb